Abilene Independent School District Bonham Elementary

2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan



Public Presentation Date: July 10, 2023

Mission Statement

AISD will equip learners to make a positive impact in their world through relevant, innovative, and challenging learning experiences.

Vision

Equipped Learners. Brighter Futures.

Core Beliefs

CONNECT

Each child, staff member and parent needs positive personal connections within the district.
Respect, care and having high expectations for each student is the foundation for learning.

LEAD

Initiative, innovation, and a strong work-ethic are important life skills for students and staff.
 Developing partnerships throughout the Abilene community builds connections for future leaders to give back to the community.

SUCCEED

Intellectual, emotional, and physical safety are crucial components to a successful school environment.
 Critical thinking, collaboration and problem solving are essential for deep learning.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Achievement	5
School Culture and Climate	6
Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention	7
Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment	8
Parent and Community Engagement	9
School Context and Organization	10
Technology	11
Priority Problem Statements	12
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	13
Goals	15
Goal 1: Establish a culture of collaboration between students, teachers, administrators and the AISD community.	15
Goal 2: Expect and support active learner engagement in classrooms.	22
Goal 3: Develop intentional strategic partnerships which capitalize on the strengths, resources, and talents of all stakeholders.	40
Goal 4: Tell the AISD story of being the school district of choice that provides unparalleled opportunities in Abilene and the Big Country.	44
Goal 5: Demonstrate excellence in district practices in order to enhance outcomes for stakeholders.	46
Title I	49
1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment	49
2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders	49
2.2: Regular monitoring and revision	49
2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language	49
3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan	49
4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy	49
4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings	49
Campus Funding Summary	50

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Bonham Elementary is a Title 1 school with a very diverse student population. We serve approximately 490 students, from the nearby neighborhoods. Bonham is the home of two adapt self-contained special education classes for district's most significantly disabled students. Bonham also houses two district level special education classes for students with significant behavior issues, one K-2 and one 3-4. The ethnic distribution has been predominantly Hispanic and White, each about 40+%.

There has been an increase in the percentage of Hispanic teachers, but Bonham's staff demographics continues to not reflect its student population. The staff is made up of large percentage of teachers with 5 years or less experience. The rest of the staff is almost evenly represented by teachers with 6-10 years of experience and ones with 11-20 years of experience. There are very few teachers with master's degrees. The principal has completed one year as principal.

Demographics Strengths

Being able to identify sped students
Increase in GT students
Attendance percentage has increased
Mobility rate has decreased
Increase in staff - para positions fully staffed

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: Attendance has not returned to level from before COVID. Root Cause: The perception of needing to be at school has changed.

Problem Statement 2: A large percentage of teachers with less than 5 years of experience. **Root Cause:** The job has changed in recent years and recent instability in campus leadership.

Student Achievement

Student Achievement Summary

Bonham is experiencing growth in all grade levels and content areas. The majority of students continue to reach approaches or better on the state exams. Classroom behavior has become an increasingly problematic factor that is affecting student performance.

Student Achievement Strengths

Improved attendance
Showing academic growth
Students are focused on growth goals

Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Two grade levels matched the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Math MAP test and the other four were below. **Root Cause:** There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Grades 1-5 were 1 to 3 points below the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Reading MAP test. **Root Cause:** There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Problem Statement 3: Classroom behavior often gets in the way of academic learning. **Root Cause:** There isn't consistency in addressing the behaviors, and consequences are not a deterrent to the behaviors. Tier 1 instruction needs to be stronger.

Problem Statement 4: While attendance has improved, we still have not met the district goal of 95%. **Root Cause:** The causes include mobility rates and chronic tardies and absences.

School Culture and Climate

School Culture and Climate Summary

Students feel loved and cared for while they are at Bonham. They feel respected by their teachers and know their expectations. However, we could make improvements by giving the students more responsibilities in school leadership (student jobs). We have good attendance at our evening events. Our families seem to want to be involved.

School Culture and Climate Strengths

The majority of students feel that they feel safe at school, are treated with respect, and that their teachers make classroom expectations clear.

96% of parents surveyed believe that "strong family engagement is a core value" at Bonham.

9\$% of parents surveyed believe that Bonham "makes family engagement a priority."

Problem Statements Identifying School Culture and Climate Needs

Problem Statement 1: Students do not feel that they are given much voice in making decisions. **Root Cause:** It has not been an option.

Problem Statement 2: Based on student feedback, other students' behaviors distract from their own learning. Root Cause: Low academics, classroom management and home life.

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Summary

In our opinion we feel that in regards to recruitment, admin has gone above and beyond with the hiring process and it has shown with the teachers that have been hired. There is a great level of equipped teachers who are thriving at what they do. The mentor-mentee meetings this year were very helpful and effective. Teachers felt a sense of comradery and involvement.

As much as we have focused on hiring the best of the best, we recommend that during the interviews being taken, that a team member is involved.

We also wanted to mention that a less restrictive dress code encourages moral and teacher investment as well as classroom creativity.

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Strengths

Teacher friendly lesson plan format.

High expectations during the hiring process.

Good teaching community where you feel the warmth and openness to ask for help or any type of question.

An intentional Mentor Mentee Program that was successful.

Problem Statements Identifying Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Needs

Problem Statement 1: Students lack the self-discipline to take school seriously. Root Cause: A lack of classroom consequences or the consequences used are ineffective.

Problem Statement 2: Lowered teacher and student morale Root Cause: dress code restrictions and stern teacher expectations (limited participation of spirit weeks, bootcamp, holidays, etc. for teachers and students)

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Summary

Alignment across the district in curriculum and instruction through the use of DDCDs (district-designated curriculum documents) and common curriculum materials has helped teachers stay on track and cover all the TEKS required. We have also done a good job using intervention and tutoring to help students who are struggling to read and do math on grade level.

In RLA, teachers have appreciated the structure of the units and pacing in the DDCD. We have also seen a lot of growth in our younger students in phonics and decoding by using the Fundations and Heggerty curriculums with fidelity.

In math, the Eureka curriculum was new to all teachers this year and there have been some challenges. The biggest challenges teachers are facing have been that students enter their grade level with gaps from the previous year and the pacing, especially trying to squeeze in a lot of material at the end of the year. We are hopeful that next year will be better as teachers become more familiar with the curriculum.

A main area of concern is that teachers do not have enough time in their day for students to practice what they have learned. Instead, they feel like they just have to move on to the next topic without students fully mastering the previous topic. Some proposed solutions to this issue are to continue to utilize Buerk rubrics/choice boards, provide intentional time in the day for reteaching and remediation, and to integrate science and social studies content into reading. Teachers also requested time to visit other schools and teachers who are using Buerk rubrics/choice boards so they can see them in action.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Strengths

- Curriculum aligned with TEKS
- DDCDs helps teachers maintain alignment across the campus and district
- Data is being utilized to modify instruction
- · Clear focus on intervention strategies has led to significant growth

Problem Statements Identifying Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Students are not doing the majority of the cognitive lift in learning and teachers are not engaging students with techniques to encourage productive struggle. **Root Cause:** Not enough time given to productive struggle, student behavior, and a focus more on the lower achieving students.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Student progress towards measurable goals is not consistently tracked/visible in all classrooms to foster student ownership and goal setting. **Root Cause:** Data tracking has not been a campus expectation in the past. Clearer expectations and professional development in this area are needed.

Problem Statement 3: Pacing of math curriculum has made it difficult for teachers to cover all of the required TEKS. **Root Cause:** new curriculum, limited time for spiral review, students' lack of prior knowledge

Parent and Community Engagement

Parent and Community Engagement Summary

While Bonham has great support from the community. Family attendance for events has is strong and continues to grow. Parents were invited to volunteer this year to be on the Title 1 Parent Advisory Committee. Six parents volunteered and were invited. The committee met in November and three of the six attended.

Parent and Community Engagement Strengths

Strong family attendance to events: STEM night, Leadership Night, BINGO, Treat Trot, and grade level programs.

Parents live in a close proximity to the school.

Field trips involving community businesses allow for parent volunteers.

Community support through partnerships: Wyatt Electric, Westgate Church of Christ, and Pioneer Drive. - Food sacks, teacher appreciation, snow cones, Polar Express, and school supplies

Problem Statements Identifying Parent and Community Engagement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Parents have not had the opportunity to have significant input in the school decision making process. **Root Cause:** Multiple school leadership changes through the years.

Problem Statement 2: Parents lack the opportunity to volunteer throughout the year in support of the classroom teacher: cutting, reading, and making copies. **Root Cause:** The lack of a PTO/PTA that works as a liaison between teachers and parents creates a lack of communication between the two groups. The district's new policy of background checks and security concerns that rise.

School Context and Organization

School Context and Organization Summary

Leadership committees meet often, but their information is not always shared with the entire faculty. There is a need common communication procedures for each committee. Students have overwhelmingly voiced their concern about lack of input on how the school should function. We need to do a better job of giving them a voice.

School Context and Organization Strengths

Leadership committees have consistent meetings and attendees. Every classroom teacher is assigned to a leadership committee.

Problem Statements Identifying School Context and Organization Needs

Problem Statement 1: Disseminating information from committee meetings to the entire staff so that everyone is on the same page. Root Cause: Communication Procedures

Problem Statement 2: Student survey shows that many students feel like they do not have a voice in how the school should function. **Root Cause:** Students aren't being asked their opinion.

Technology

Technology Summary

Bonham has increased its available technology significantly over the last few years. The amount of hardware available to teachers and students is great, but some of it needs to be replaced. Teachers have more software available to them than they have before. A lot of it is underutilized due to the teachers not being familiar with it.

Technology Strengths

one to one devices

online assessments for every grade level (mClass, MAP)

online resources for intervention and differentiation

Problem Statements Identifying Technology Needs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers need more training in utilizing the available resources in the classroom. Root Cause: Time and a lot of new technology

Problem Statement 2: IPads and some of the Chromebooks are aging. **Root Cause:** Devices have not been replaced.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Students are not doing the majority of the cognitive lift in learning and teachers are not engaging students with techniques to encourage productive struggle.

Root Cause 1: Not enough time given to productive struggle, student behavior, and a focus more on the lower achieving students.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 2: Student progress towards measurable goals is not consistently tracked/visible in all classrooms to foster student ownership and goal setting.

Root Cause 2: Data tracking has not been a campus expectation in the past. Clearer expectations and professional development in this area are needed.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 3: Two grade levels matched the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Math MAP test and the other four were below.

Root Cause 3: There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 4: Grades 1-5 were 1 to 3 points below the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Reading MAP test.

Root Cause 4: There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 5: Parents have not had the opportunity to have significant input in the school decision making process.

Root Cause 5: Multiple school leadership changes through the years.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Parent and Community Engagement

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Federal Report Card and accountability data
- Local Accountability Systems (LAS) data

Student Data: Assessments

- · Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Observation Survey results
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data
- · Homeless data
- · Gifted and talented data
- · Dvslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- T-TESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices

Goals

Goal 1: Establish a culture of collaboration between students, teachers, administrators and the AISD community.

Performance Objective 1: Implement Professional Learning Community (PLC) practices to allow for teacher collaboration and job-embedded professional learning.

Evaluation Data Sources: Professional Learning Needs Survey, Professional Learning Workshop Schedule and Sign In Sheets, Professional Learning Workshop Exit Tickets, PLC agendas and sign-in sheets

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Classroom teachers will meet weekly with a PLC coach through Region 14 ESC's Coaching Collaborative		Formative		Summative
PLCs grant.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will track and utilize data to more effectively collaborate and plan.			ı.	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Instructional Coordinator, Assistant Principal, and Grade Level Chairs	25%	60%		
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 2				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will participate in professional development over PLCs with Region 14 ESC.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will gain a better understanding of how to implement PLCs and their	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
value.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	100%	100%	100%	
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 2				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Members of the leadership team and teachers will attend the PLC institute through Solution Tree.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: We will increase our campus knowledge of better utilizing professional learning communities on our campus.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	N/A	20%		
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: PLC At Work Institute - Title I, Part A - \$4,794				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor			
No Progress Continue/Modify	Discor	itinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 2: Student progress towards measurable goals is not consistently tracked/visible in all classrooms to foster student ownership and goal setting. **Root Cause**: Data tracking has not been a campus expectation in the past. Clearer expectations and professional development in this area are needed.

Goal 1: Establish a culture of collaboration between students, teachers, administrators and the AISD community.

Performance Objective 2: Members of administration will participate in grade level PLCs a minimum of twice a month.

Evaluation Data Sources: PLC sign in, PLC notes

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Establish a schedule and protect the time for administrators to attend.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Better collaboration and teacher buy-in to the implementation of PLCs.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Instructional Coordinator Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:	25%	60%		
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1, 2				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 1: Students are not doing the majority of the cognitive lift in learning and teachers are not engaging students with techniques to encourage productive struggle. **Root Cause**: Not enough time given to productive struggle, student behavior, and a focus more on the lower achieving students.

Problem Statement 2: Student progress towards measurable goals is not consistently tracked/visible in all classrooms to foster student ownership and goal setting. **Root Cause**: Data tracking has not been a campus expectation in the past. Clearer expectations and professional development in this area are needed.

Goal 1: Establish a culture of collaboration between students, teachers, administrators and the AISD community.

Performance Objective 3: 100% of certified teachers will receive a minimum of two walkthroughs with written feedback regarding classroom routines and instructional strategies by May 2024.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Weekly admin team meetings will be held to communicate about progress, expectations, and observation data.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Administration will be able to collaborate on strengths and needs and plan for addressing campus needs.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	10%	40%		
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1, 2				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 2: The principal will create a schedule and a tracking form for campus walkthroughs.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Administration will consistently perform walkthroughs.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	40%	45%		
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: The campus leadership team will create and prioritize look-fors during campus walkthroughs that they will then		Formative		Summative
communicate to teachers.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Create consistency in feedback that will then be more useful to teachers.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coordinator	40%	50%		
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1, 2				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 1: Students are not doing the majority of the cognitive lift in learning and teachers are not engaging students with techniques to encourage productive struggle. **Root Cause**: Not enough time given to productive struggle, student behavior, and a focus more on the lower achieving students.

Problem Statement 2: Student progress towards measurable goals is not consistently tracked/visible in all classrooms to foster student ownership and goal setting. **Root Cause**: Data tracking has not been a campus expectation in the past. Clearer expectations and professional development in this area are needed.

Goal 1: Establish a culture of collaboration between students, teachers, administrators and the AISD community.

Performance Objective 4: 100% of 1st year and 2nd year teachers will be provided mentoring throughout the school year.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: A yearly mentor/mentee program will be planned to provide opportunities for mentors and mentees to observe		Formative		Summative
in each other's classroom, discuss important topics, and to be provided important information.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers receiving mentoring will feel supported, be more effective, and more likely to return to Bonham. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Assistant Principal	50%	75%		
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Goal 1: Establish a culture of collaboration between students, teachers, administrators and the AISD community.

Performance Objective 5: We will increase parent attendance of the Title 1 Parent Advisory Meeting from three parents to six the Spring meeting.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Our parent liaison will gather the names of interested parents at family events and then invite them to our first		Formative		Summative
meeting.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: We will have more parents involved in our Parent Advisory Committee.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, parent liaison	35%	45%		
Title I:				
4.1, 4.2				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 1				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 1: Parents have not had the opportunity to have significant input in the school decision making process. **Root Cause**: Multiple school leadership changes through the years.

Performance Objective 1: From BOY MAP in Fall of 2023 to EOY MAP in Spring of 2024, each grade level will meet the NWEA determined Grade-Level Norms Projected Growth in Math.

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP assessment will be evaluated to determine if we are on track throughout the year.

Strategy 1 Details		Revi	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will implement personalized instruction based on student Mathematics RIT scores, pre-assessment and		Formative		Summative
formative assessment data.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will achieve projected growth on their Mathematics MAP				
assessments and will achieve growth from pre-assessments to post assessments.	15%	55%		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coordinator				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1				
Funding Sources: Lakeshore Learning classroom carpets - Title I, Part A - \$4,172.40				
Strategy 2 Details		Revi	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will create grade level common assessments and adjust instructional grouping based on data.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance on classroom common assessments.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coordinator			1	
	20%	60%		
Title I:	2070	00%		
2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1, 2 - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 2				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	Reviews		
rategy 3: Students identified as not meeting targeted growth will receive tutoring in math and RLA.		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increase in student performance on common assessments.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coordinator			r		
Title I:	25%	70%			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1, 2					
Funding Sources: Supplemental Tutoring Allocation - State Comp Ed - \$5,700					
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews		
rategy 4: Teachers will differentiate their instruction through the use of math manipulatives.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will increase their math RIT score on the EOY MAP testing.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, instructional coordinator, principal	Oct	Jan	Apı	June	
Start Responsible for Frontoring. Founding, modulational coordinator, principal					
Title I:	30%	60%			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1					
Funding Sources: Math manipulatives order from Hand2Mind - Title I, Part A - \$3,429.97, Plastic coins from Lakeshore - Title I, Part A - \$47.49					
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon				

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 1: Two grade levels matched the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Math MAP test and the other four were below. **Root Cause**: There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Problem Statement 2: Grades 1-5 were 1 to 3 points below the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Reading MAP test. **Root Cause**: There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 2: Student progress towards measurable goals is not consistently tracked/visible in all classrooms to foster student ownership and goal setting. **Root Cause**: Data tracking has not been a campus expectation in the past. Clearer expectations and professional development in this area are needed.

Performance Objective 2: 90% of students in Kindergarten, 1st grade, and 2nd grade will show growth from the BOY mClass reading assessment to the end of the year assessment.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide explicit and systematic phonemic awareness instruction in grades K - 2 through the Heggerty Program		Formative		Summative
in class and during intervention time and provide explicit and systematic phonics instruction in grades K - 2 through Fundations Wilson Language Phonics Program.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will achieve growth on grade level common assessments in reading and there will be an increased number of students reading on grade level in grades K - 2. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coordinator	30%	70%		
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2 - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 2: Teachers will implement personalized instruction based on student Reading RIT scores, pre-assessment and formative assessment data.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will achieve projected growth on their Reading MAP assessments and will achieve growth from pre-assessments to post assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coordinator	Oct 30%	Jan 60%	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1, 2 Funding Sources: Lakeshore Learning - Title I, Part A - \$286.89				

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Provide reading support and interventions for students in K - 2.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased number of students reading on grade level in grades K-2.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal. Assistant Principal, Instructional Coordinator, Interventionists				
Title I:	25%	70%		
2.4				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2 - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 2				
Strategy 4 Details		Revi	iews	
Strategy 4: Teachers in grades K - 2 will use decodable readers with students in small groups to practice the skills learned		Formative		Summative
n Fundations and Heggerty.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased number of students reading on grade level.	000	Jan	Apı	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coordinator				
	25%	60%		
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2 - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 2				
Funding Sources: Lakeshore Learning - Title I, Part A - \$170.97				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon			

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 1: Two grade levels matched the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Math MAP test and the other four were below. **Root Cause**: There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Problem Statement 2: Grades 1-5 were 1 to 3 points below the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Reading MAP test. **Root Cause**: There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 1: Students are not doing the majority of the cognitive lift in learning and teachers are not engaging students with techniques to encourage productive struggle. **Root Cause**: Not enough time given to productive struggle, student behavior, and a focus more on the lower achieving students.

Problem Statement 2: Student progress towards measurable goals is not consistently tracked/visible in all classrooms to foster student ownership and goal setting. **Root Cause**: Data tracking has not been a campus expectation in the past. Clearer expectations and professional development in this area are needed.

Performance Objective 3: The percentage of students in 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade who "Meets" on the Reading STAAR Test will increase by 5% from 2022-2023 assessment results.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Teachers will implement personalized instruction based on student reading RIT scores, pre-assessment and	Formative			Summative	
formative assessment data.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will achieve projected growth on their reading MAP assessments and will achieve growth from pre-assessments to post assessments.	2504	5004	-		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coordinator	25%	60%			
Title I:					
2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2 - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 2					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	_	
Strategy 2: Teachers will create grade level common assessments and adjust instructional grouping based on data.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance on classroom common assessments.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coordinator			-		
	20%	60%			
Title I:	20%	00%			
2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1, 2					

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews									
Strategy 3: Students in grades 4th and 5th who previously failed the reading STAAR test will complete tutoring over	e tutoring over Formative	Formative		Formative S		Formative		Formative S	Formative	Summative
reading passages and questions, as well as test taking strategies.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June						
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1, 2 - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1	40%	70%								
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•						

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 1: Two grade levels matched the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Math MAP test and the other four were below. **Root Cause**: There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Problem Statement 2: Grades 1-5 were 1 to 3 points below the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Reading MAP test. **Root Cause**: There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 1: Students are not doing the majority of the cognitive lift in learning and teachers are not engaging students with techniques to encourage productive struggle. **Root Cause**: Not enough time given to productive struggle, student behavior, and a focus more on the lower achieving students.

Problem Statement 2: Student progress towards measurable goals is not consistently tracked/visible in all classrooms to foster student ownership and goal setting. **Root Cause**: Data tracking has not been a campus expectation in the past. Clearer expectations and professional development in this area are needed.

Performance Objective 4: The percentage of students in 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade who "Meets" on the Mathematics STAAR Test will increase by 5% from 2022-2023 assessment results.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Teachers will implement personalized instruction based on student math RIT scores, pre-assessment and	Formative			Summative	
formative assessment data.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will achieve projected growth on their math MAP assessments and will achieve growth from pre-assessments to post assessments.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coordinator	40%	60%			
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1					
Strategy 2 Details		Revi	ews		
Strategy 2: Teachers will create grade level common assessments and adjust instructional grouping based on data.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance on classroom common assessments.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coordinator	40%	65%			
Title I:	4070	0370			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

Reviews			
	Formative		
Oct 40%	Jan 65%	Apr	June
Reviews			
	Formative		Summative
Oct	Jan	Apr	June
30%	60%		
	40% Oct	Pormative Oct Jan 40% 65% Rev Formative Oct Jan	Formative Oct Jan Apr 40% 65% Reviews Formative Oct Jan Apr

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 1: Two grade levels matched the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Math MAP test and the other four were below. **Root Cause**: There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Problem Statement 2: Grades 1-5 were 1 to 3 points below the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Reading MAP test. **Root Cause**: There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 1: Students are not doing the majority of the cognitive lift in learning and teachers are not engaging students with techniques to encourage productive struggle. **Root Cause**: Not enough time given to productive struggle, student behavior, and a focus more on the lower achieving students.

Performance Objective 5: 80% of students will be considered Tier 1 on the Safe and Civil School Pyramid by having 1 or less office referrals.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Foundation team will facilitate the implementation of Safe and Civil Schools Foundations including monthly	Formative			Summative
meetings.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Consistent implementation of Foundations principles				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal	40%	60%		
Title I:				
2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Address individual behavior needs of Tier II and Tier III behavior students by implementing behavior RTIs and		Formative		Summative
providing staff development for all faculty and staff regarding behavior management strategies for different levels of need.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in Tier II and III behavior incidents / office referrals		0.111	1101	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal	30%	60%		
Title I:				
2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				1
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers:				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			Details Reviews		
Strategy 3: Discipline data will be reviewed and presented each six weeks to analyze effectiveness of classroom		Summative				
management strategies and campus consequences.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers and administration will be able to make the needed adjustments to classroom management strategies and campus consequences in a timely manner to prevent the need for some of the need for exclusionary consequences.	15%	50%				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Assistant Principal						
Title I:						
2.6						
- TEA Priorities:						
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals						
- ESF Levers:						
Lever 3: Positive School Culture						
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•		

Performance Objective 6: The number of days students spend out of the classroom due to exclusionary consequences will decrease by 10% from 2022-2023 school year to the end of the 2023-2024 school year.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will complete a Classroom Management Plan that includes a "menu" of classroom consequences.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Few students' behavior will escalate to the point of requiring removal from the	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
classroom. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Assistant Principal	100%	100%	100%	
Title I:				
2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			1
Strategy 2: The campus's Positive Behavior Support Liaison will have a defined schedule of students to visit in the		Formative		Summative
classroom and to pull for behavior coaching and intervention.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fewer students' behavior will escalate to the point of requiring exclusionary consequences.	Oct	Jan	Арі	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Positive Behavior Support Liaison	35%	55%		
Title I:				
2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	<u>I</u>	1

Performance Objective 7: The performance of students who meets grade level on 5th grade science will increase by 10% from the 2022 - 2023 assessment results.

Evaluation Data Sources: TAPR and STAAR Results. MAP data will be utilized to monitor progress toward the objective.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students in grades 3 - 5 will use StemScopes during their science instruction.	Formative Su			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: StemScopes is a program that has engaging and hands-on lessons and activities for students to learn the science TEKS. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coordinator Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:	Oct 35%	Jan 60%	Apr	June
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers in grades K through 2 will utilize the Mystery Science science curriculum.	Formative Sumi			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Mystery Science curriculum will help teachers to plan and implement more effective science instruction and over time will improve 5th grade math scores.	Oct	Oct Jan	Apr	June
Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	30%	50%		
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Goal 2: Expect and support active learner engagement in classrooms.

Performance Objective 8: Students in our Adapt classes will increase the percentage of IEP goals that they achieve.

Evaluation Data Sources: Teacher data, IEPs,

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers in the K-2 Adapt classes will teach individualized vocational tasks with hands on manipulatives.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in students hand eye coordination and fine motor skills.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, principal, assistant principal Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	20%	50%	-	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 2: Expect and support active learner engagement in classrooms.

Performance Objective 9: 100% of 3-5 Adapt students will score Satisfactory on STAAR Alt 2.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Alt 2

Goal 2: Expect and support active learner engagement in classrooms.

Performance Objective 10: The number of students needing to be removed from PE, Art, and Music will decrease by 10%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Referrals and level 2 forms turned in.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: All 4th graders will learn and practice reading music in order to play songs on the recorder.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student engagement will increase.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: music teacher, assistant principal, instructional coordinator, and principal Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments Funding Sources: Peripole - Title I, Part A - \$662.90	N/A	40%		
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: PE will plan and implement age appropriate activities that include age appropriate equipment in order to teach		Formative		Summative
students a wide variety of activities and games.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increase in student engagement	N/A		_	
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments Funding Sources: PE Equipment - Title I, Part A - \$2,569.66		40%		
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

Goal 3: Develop intentional strategic partnerships which capitalize on the strengths, resources, and talents of all stakeholders.

Performance Objective 1: 100% of students will connect with, interact with, and serve members of Pioneer Drive Baptist Church.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: There will be planned events for students to serve lunches, perform for, and meet with members of Pioneer		Formative		Summative
Drive Baptist Church's Primetimers class.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students appreciation for the PDBC's members will increase and they will continue to grow in their understanding of each other.	N/A	N/A		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and counselor				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 1				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Parent and Community Engagement

Goal 3: Develop intentional strategic partnerships which capitalize on the strengths, resources, and talents of all stakeholders.

Performance Objective 2: Bonham Elementary will partner with Pioneer Drive Baptist Church, AM Donuts, and Wyatt Electric to provide incentives for and to celebrate student academic growth.

Strategy 1: Utilize the donations from community partners to fund celebrations of student growth.		Reviews				
Strategy 1. Othize the donations from commannly partners to raine effectations of student growth.		Formative		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student academic growth.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coordinator, Counselor Title I:	N/A	45%				
2.6						
- TEA Priorities:						
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers:						
Lever 3: Positive School Culture						
Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 1						
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue				

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Parent and Community Engagement

Goal 3: Develop intentional strategic partnerships which capitalize on the strengths, resources, and talents of all stakeholders.

Performance Objective 3: Bonham Elementary will promote at home literacy family events.

Evaluation Data Sources: Calendar, event agendas,

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Bonham Elementary will partner with Clack Middle School to provide a Family STEM night.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase value of science in the homes.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, assistant principal, parent coordinator			<u> </u>	
Title I:	10%	95%		
4.2				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 1				
Strategy 2 Details		Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: We will provide families with reading strategies to use at home and provide books for at home libraries.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will have more books at home and parents will have a better	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
understanding of how to help them at home.		5112	P-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Parent liaison, principal,	N/A	35%		
Title I:				
42				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2 - Parent and Community Engagement 1				
Funding Sources: Scholastic (FACE) - Title I, Part A - \$510				
No Progress 100% Accomplished Continue/Modify	V D	,·		1
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: Grades 1-5 were 1 to 3 points below the National Norm for RIT on the Spring 22-23 Reading MAP test. **Root Cause**: There is not an established instructional framework with clear protocols to ensure that all students are doing the cognitive lift in learning.

Parent and Community Engagement

Goal 4: Tell the AISD story of being the school district of choice that provides unparalleled opportunities in Abilene and the Big Country.

Performance Objective 1: Bonham will post a celebration weekly to its social media accounts.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: QR Codes will be created to share the social media accounts with staff and parents.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in following of social media accounts	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Instructional Coordinator				
ESF Levers:	15%	50%		
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Create opportunity for teachers to share pictures and information that they would like shared through social	Formative Sum			Summative
media.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in frequency and variety of social media posts.			_	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Instructional Coordinator	20%	30%		
ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 4: Tell the AISD story of being the school district of choice that provides unparalleled opportunities in Abilene and the Big Country.

Performance Objective 2: Host three family events during the 2022-2023 school year for families and community members to celebrate students, increase parent involvement, and share information.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Lighthouse Team and Student Lighthouse Team will meet once a month to plan and design family nights.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in effectiveness of family nights to increase parent involvement.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Lighthouse Team Facilitator				
Title I:	35%	85%		
4.2				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Opportunities for parents to complete the online volunteer form will be provided at the family night events.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in parent volunteers.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Parent Coordinator, and Campus Secretary				
	35%	70%		
Title I:	33%	100		
4.2 - TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		
100 Frogress Accomplished — Continue/Wodify	Discon	tilluc		

Goal 5: Demonstrate excellence in district practices in order to enhance outcomes for stakeholders.

Performance Objective 1: All Title 1 parent engagement requirements will be completed.

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent Survey Results, Parent Involvement Event Sign-In Sheet

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: The Parent Liaison and the Principal will meet once a month to discuss progress and plan.		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: parent engagement requirements will be completed	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	20%	60%		
Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 1				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Parent and Community Engagement

Goal 5: Demonstrate excellence in district practices in order to enhance outcomes for stakeholders.

Performance Objective 2: 100% of students will participate in safety lessons, activities and drills.

Evaluation Data Sources: CrisisGo Drill reports, completed online courses,

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: The campus safety team will meet once a month to plan drills, evaluate prior drills, and plan communication to	Formative			Summative
teachers and students.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Drills will continuously improve and students will be familiar with procedures. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: principal, assistant principal Title I: 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture	30%	60%		
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 5: Demonstrate excellence in district practices in order to enhance outcomes for stakeholders.

Performance Objective 3: Bonham attendance will improve to a rate of 94% by the end of the school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Frontline, Raawee

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Weekly class attendance winners will be announced every Tuesday. Six week winners will be announced at the end of each six weeks.		Formative	Γ	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Classes will monitor their own attendance and encourage students to be at school. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: principal Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Oct 35%	Jan 70%	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	!
Strategy 2: Every time a class earns 10 days of perfect attendance they will be rewarded.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Overall campus attendance will improve.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: principal, assistant principal Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	25%	70%		
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Title I

1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Documentation is in Plan4Learning's *Needs Assessment* section.

2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

2.2: Regular monitoring and revision

Documentation is in Plan4Learning's Formative and Summative Year End Reviews section.

2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

Campus Funding Summary

			State Comp Ed	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
2	1	3	Supplemental Tutoring Allocation	\$5,700.00
		•	Sub-Tot	al \$5,700.00
			Title I, Part A	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	1	3	PLC At Work Institute	\$4,794.00
2	1	1	Lakeshore Learning classroom carpets	\$4,172.40
2	1	4	Math manipulatives order from Hand2Mind	\$3,429.97
2	1	4	Plastic coins from Lakeshore	\$47.49
2	2	2	Lakeshore Learning	\$286.89
2	2	4	Lakeshore Learning	\$170.97
2	10	1	Peripole	\$662.90
2	10	2	PE Equipment	\$2,569.66
3	3	2	Scholastic (FACE)	\$510.00
•			Sub-Total	\$16,644.28